Atomic Hong-Ou-Mandel effect

R. Lopes, A. Imanaliev, A. Aspect, M. Cheneau, DB, C. I. Westbrook

Laboratoire Charles Fabry, Institut d'Optique, CNRS, Univ Paris-Sud

IFRAF, Paris, November, 05th 2015





・ロト ・日本・ ・ ヨト

The team



<ロ> (四)、(四)、(日)、(日)、 æ



- $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathsf{HOM}$ effect with photons
- 3 Quantum Optics with atoms
- $\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathsf{HOM}$ effect with metastable helium atoms

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

5 Conclusion and perspectives

Quantum optics

- Effects involving at least two particles
- Hong-Ou-Mandel experiment (1987): milestone two-particle interference experiment
- HOM effect: a "last" step before entanglement criteria (e.g. Bell's inequality)

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

• HOM setup: building block for quantum information processing

2 photons + 1 beam-splitter: 4 possibilities

• 2 distinguishable photons



• 2 indistinguishable photons

•
$$P_{cd} = |A_{TT} + e^{i\pi}A_{RR}|^2 = 0!!$$

 $\Psi_{in}\rangle = |11\rangle, |\Psi_{out}\rangle = |20\rangle + |02\rangle$

Hong Ou Mandel effect

2 photons + 1 beam-splitter: 4 possibilities

• 2 distinguishable photons



$$P_{cd} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

• 2 indistinguishable photons



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ のへで

Hong Ou Mandel effect

2 photons + 1 beam-splitter: 4 possibilities

• 2 indistinguishable photons

• 2 distinguishable photons

$$P_{cd} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Hong, Ou & Mandel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2044 (1987)



FIG. 1. Outline of the experimental setup.

Need beam-splitter, pin-hole, spectral filters, photon-counter, coincidence counts, path delay

Two-photon interference



The 'HOM dip' for indistinguisable photons works for 2 independent photons but experiment easier with pairs of photon

Hong Ou Mandel: striking 2-particle effect for input state of one particle per input beam

Quantum Optics with ultra-cold atoms

Pro-Cons

- ullet \odot Another platform for quantum information
- 🙂 More degrees of freedom (internal state, boson/fermion)
- ullet igcup Controllable, tunable and strong non-linearity
- 😕 Purity of the state
- 🔗 Manipulation (mirrors, beam-splitter, pin-hole, vacuum...)

< ロト (四) (三) (三) (三)

What do we need for the atomic analogue?

- An atom: metastable helium
- The ability to detect single particles: micro-channel plates
- An source of pairs: lattice-assisted collision
- Mirror, beam-splitter, pin-hole, interference filters: 2-photon Bragg diffraction + 3D capability of the detector

< □ > < (四 > < (回 >) < (回 >) < (回 >)) 三 回

Let's go!

Quantum atom optics with metastable helium (He^*)

Specificities of He*

$2^3 S_1$: metastable helium (life-time of ~ 2 h): He*



- Laser cooling at 1.08 $\mu {\rm m}$
- \bullet 2001: Bose-Einstein Condensate of $\sim 10^5$ atoms
- High internal energy ↓
- Electronic detection by micro-channel plates (MCP)

Principle of the 3D detector

The detector

- Cloud released from the trap
 → atoms fall 50 cm to detector
 (300 ms fall time)
- MCP: low-noise electronic amplifier
 - \Rightarrow sensitive to single atom (quantum efficiency \sim 25%)
- 3D detector: x, y and t (resolution 140 ns, 250 μm)

 $\Rightarrow \text{Measurement of } \vec{\mathbf{v}} \\ (x_0 + v_0 t \approx v_0 t)$

- Measurement of distribution $\rho(\vec{v})$
- Measurement of 2-body correlation $G^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}'})$ $g^{(2)} = \frac{G^{(2)}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \vec{\mathbf{v}'})}{\rho(\vec{\mathbf{v}})\rho(\vec{\mathbf{v}'})} \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow$ correlation



Pair production by lattice-assisted collision

Lattice-assisted collisions

Dynamical instability of a BEC in a moving optical lattice



elastic collision between two atoms of the condensate:

 $k_0 + k_0 \rightarrow k_1 + k_2$

Hilligsøe & Mølmer, PRA **71**, 041602 (2005) Campbell *et al.*, PRL **96**, 020406 (2006)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣

Lattice-assisted collision

Momentum distribution



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

M. Bonneau et al, Phys. Rev. A 87, 061603(R) (2013)

Tunability



solid line: single-particle prediction dashed line: mean field

Control over the population



(ロ) (部) (E) (E)

Pairs of atoms

Atom pairs

- Pairs of atoms
- Detection $\rightarrow G^{(2)}$ \checkmark
- +sub-Poissonian variance & violation of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
- Beam-splitter (BS) ✓
 - 2 photon Bragg diffraction
 - 2 laser beams $(\Delta \mathbf{k}, \Delta \omega)$
 - Resonant for $\mathbf{p}_a = \mathbf{p}_b + \hbar \Delta \mathbf{k}$ and $\frac{p_a^2}{2m} = \frac{p_b^2}{2m} + \hbar \Delta \omega$.
 - Transmission coef. ↔ duration



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

Pairs of atoms

Atom pairs

- Pairs of atoms
- Detection $\rightarrow G^{(2)}$ \checkmark
- +sub-Poissonian variance & violation of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
- Beam-splitter (BS) ✓
 - 2 photon Bragg diffraction
 - 2 laser beams $(\Delta \mathbf{k}, \Delta \omega)$
 - Resonant for $\mathbf{p}_a = \mathbf{p}_b + \hbar \Delta \mathbf{k}$ and $\frac{p_a^2}{2m} = \frac{p_b^2}{2m} + \hbar \Delta \omega$.
 - Transmission coef. ↔ duration



• Ready to go for HOM !

Pairs of atoms

Atom pairs

- Pairs of atoms
- Detection $\rightarrow G^{(2)}$ \checkmark
- +sub-Poissonian variance & violation of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
- Beam-splitter (BS) ✓
 - 2 photon Bragg diffraction
 - 2 laser beams ($\Delta \mathbf{k}, \Delta \omega$)
 - Resonant for $\mathbf{p}_a = \mathbf{p}_b + \hbar \Delta \mathbf{k}$ and $\frac{p_a^2}{2m} = \frac{p_b^2}{2m} + \hbar \Delta \omega$.
 - Transmission coef. ↔ duration



The experimental sequence



- *t*₀: Lattice switched on
- *t*₁: Trap switched off
- t₂: Bragg in mirror mode
- t_3 : Bragg in BS mode ($t_3 - t_0 \sim 1 \text{ ms}$) exact timing of t_3 control the overlap
- $t \sim 300$ ms: Detection by MCP

Mirror and beam-splitter by Bragg diffraction

The result: Cross-correlation G_{cd} in function of BS application time





- DIP !!, with visibility of $V_{exp} = 0.65 \pm 0.07$
- Dip not allowed for classical particles
- but with (matter-)waves ?
- not either since visibility > 0.5 (red area)
- \Rightarrow 2-atom interference

atomic Hong Ou Mandel effect! \rightarrow Lopes *et al*, Nature **520**, 66 (2015)

The result: Cross-correlation G_{cd} in function of BS application time





- DIP !!, with visibility of $V_{exp} = 0.65 \pm 0.07$
- Dip not allowed for classical particles
- but with (matter-)waves ?
- not either since visibility > 0.5 (red area)
- $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \text{2-atom interference}$

atomic Hong Ou Mandel effect! \rightarrow Lopes *et al*, Nature **520**, 66 (2015)

The result: Cross-correlation G_{cd} in function of BS application time



$$au = t_3 - t_2$$
: scan of the overlap
Visibility : $V = rac{G_{max}^{(2)} - G_{min}^{(2)}}{G_{max}^{(2)}}$

- DIP !!, with visibility of $V_{exp} = 0.65 \pm 0.07$
- Dip not allowed for classical particles
- but with (matter-)waves ?
- not either since visibility > 0.5 (red area)
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$ 2-atom interference

atomic Hong Ou Mandel effect! \rightarrow Lopes *et al*, Nature **520**, 66 (2015)

Non-zero dip

- atoms could be not totally indistinguishable
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow \ {\rm unlikely}$

Indistinguishable particles $\rightarrow V_{\text{max}} = 1 - \frac{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)}}{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)} + 2G_{ab}^{(2)}}$ Measurement of V_{max} with same sequence except mirror and beam-splitter non applied : $V_{\text{max}} = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ $V_{exp} \approx V_{max}$: atoms indistinguishable up to our signal to noise

- OR input state is not exactly one atom per beam
- ullet ightarrow yes, mean atom number = 0.5 is not low enough



Non-zero dip

- atoms could be not totally indistinguishable
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow \ \text{unlikely}$

Indistinguishable particles $\rightarrow V_{max} = 1 - \frac{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)}}{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)} + 2G_{ab}^{(2)}}$ Measurement of V_{max} with same sequence except mirror and beam-splitter non applied : $V_{max} = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ $V_{exp} \approx V_{max}$: atoms indistinguishable up to our signal to noise

- OR input state is not exactly one atom per beam
- ullet ightarrow yes, mean atom number = 0.5 is not low enough



Non-zero dip

- atoms could be not totally indistinguishable
- $\bullet \ \to \ {\rm unlikely}$

Indistinguishable particles $\rightarrow V_{max} = 1 - \frac{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)}}{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)} + 2G_{ab}^{(2)}}$ Measurement of V_{max} with same sequence except mirror and beam-splitter non applied : $V_{max} = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ $V_{exp} \approx V_{max}$: atoms indistinguishable up to our signal to noise

- OR input state is not exactly one atom per beam
- ullet ightarrow yes, mean atom number = 0.5 is not low enough



Non-zero dip

- atoms could be not totally indistinguishable
- $\bullet \ \to \ {\rm unlikely}$

Indistinguishable particles $\rightarrow V_{max} = 1 - \frac{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)}}{G_{aa}^{(2)} + G_{bb}^{(2)} + 2G_{ab}^{(2)}}$ Measurement of V_{max} with same sequence except mirror and beam-splitter non applied : $V_{max} = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ $V_{exp} \approx V_{max}$: atoms indistinguishable up to our signal to noise

- OR input state is not exactly one atom per beam
- \bullet \rightarrow yes, mean atom number = 0.5 is not low enough



Conclusion and perspectives

Observation of the Hong-Ou-Mandel effect

- 🕑 Benchmarks our ability to make 2-particle interference
- 🙂 Benchmarks our source (modes with similar wave-functions)
- \bigcirc ~ 10 hours integration time for each point in HOM plot...

see also Kaufman et al, Science 345, 306 (2014)

Perspectives: EPR paradox and Bell's inequality

- State of our source $|\Psi
 angle=\int dk_1 dk_2 A(k_1,k_2)|k_1,k_2
 angle$
- The phase of $A(k_1, k_2)$ matters for EPR and Bell!
- EPR: A. J. Ferris, Phys. Rev. A 79, 043634 (2009)
 → Homodyning the 2 atoms with condensate, measurement of atom number variance
- Bell: R. J. Lewis-Swan, K. V. Kheruntsyan, arXiv: 1411.019 (2014). → Need 4 modes, mixing 2 by 2 on beam-splitter, measurement of 2-body corr.